2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 10/22/2004					Received By: mdsida				
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:				
For: Sheldon Wasserman (608) 266-7671					By/Representing: Sarah				
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: mdsida				
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:				
Subject: Correctional System - prisons					Extra Copies: gmm				
Submit	via email: YES								
Request	ter's email:	Rep.Wasso	erman@leg	is.state.wi.us					
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre To	pic:						***************************************		
No spec	eific pre topic gi	ven							
Topic:		· ·		-		Andrew Control of the			
Inmate a	and resident mo	rtality board							
Instruc	tions:								
See Atta	ached						¢		
Draftin	g History:	Wallact							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?	mdsida 04/08/2005	wjackson 04/25/2005					S&L		
/1			jfrantze 04/26/200	05	lemery 04/26/2005	mbarman 05/18/2005			
PP 04	r.								

FE Sent For:

At Intro.

<END>

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 10/22/2004				Received By: mdsida					
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:					
For: Sheldon Wasserman (608) 266-7671 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					By/Representing: Sarah				
				Drafter: mdsida					
May Co	ontact:				Addl. Drafters:				
Subject: Correctional System - prisons				Extra Copies: gmm					
Submit	via email: YES	1							
Request	ter's email:	Rep.Wass	erman@leį	gis.state.wi.us	3				
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre To	pic:	···							
No spec	cific pre topic gi	ven	ngi u n						
Topic:									
Inmate	and resident mo	rtality board							
Instruc	tions:				***************************************		<u></u>		
See Atta	ached								
Draftin	g History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?	mdsida 04/08/2005	wjackson 04/25/2005					S&L		
/1			jfrantze 04/26/20	005	lemery 04/26/2005				
FE Sent	For:								

<**END>**

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 10/22/2004

Received By: mdsida

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Sheldon Wasserman (608) 266-7671

By/Representing: Sarah

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: mdsida

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Correctional System - prisons

Extra Copies:

gmm

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Wasserman@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Inmate and resident mortality board

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Submitted

<u>Jacketed</u>

Required

/?

mdsida

1 WLJ 4 23 84/25

FE Sent For:

<END>

Nelson, Robert P.

From:

Sent:

Osterberg, Sarah Thursday, October 21, 2004 4:54 PM

To:

Subject:

Nelson, Robert P. wasserman redraft request

Hi, Bob,

Please redraft Assembly Sub Amendment 1 to AB 152 and amendments 1-4 to the sub as a new bill for next session.

Thanks!

Sarah

From:

Osterberg, Sarah

Sent:

Thursday, October 28, 2004 3:57 PM

To:

Dsida, Michael

Subject:

RE: Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

hi, mike,

here are the answers to your questions:

1.) No. (I don't believe that juveniles are housed out-of-state.

11/8 p/c to Dos

Plc to Sarah Open Records Law not
apply in circumstances
governed by AAI

2.) Yes.

3.) Go ahead...no problem. Call Bob Margolies.

4.) Only if there is a precedent...there was a concern last session about court case proceedings being interfered with.

5.) Sure.

6.) Not sure on this one. Give me a call.

Thanks!

----Original Message----

From:

Dsida, Michael

Sent:

Wednesday, October 27, 2004 3:08 PM

To:

Osterberg, Sarah

Subject:

Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

Besides the question I left on your voicemail regarding the "next scheduled meeting":

- 1. In last year's sub, you expanded the scope of s. 979.025 (which requires autopsies for people who die in in-state or out-of-state prisons) to cover, among others, juveniles in secured correctional facilities. That change, however, did not cover juveniles who are placed in secure facilities in other states. Should it have? (I don't know how often juveniles are placed in out-of-state facilities, so I don't know how many individuals that change would cover.)
- 2. Sections 7 and 18 of the sub only applied to inmates/residents of in-state facilities. (Note the cross-references to s. 979.025 (1).) I assume that they should also apply to inmates (and residents, depending on how you address Item 1) who die in out-of-state facilities if there is an in-state autopsy.
- 3. At the time the sub was drafted, DOC may not have been covered by federal HIPAA requirements regarding the disclosure of health care information. If it is not now covered, it may be covered soon. Would you mind if I contacted DOC to ask its views about how HIPAA affects the bill?
- 4. Assembly Amendment 4 did not specify whether the preliminary investigation is subject to the Open Records Law. Should it?
- 5. Is this change (page 6, line 10) okay?

The board may also refer concerns or recommendations to the department related to the performance of staff or work-rule, including violations regarding by staff who did not follow of departmental policies or procedures related to the circumstances surrounding the death.

6. Under current s. 979.04 (3), the district attorney may request the coroner or the M.E. to conduct a preliminary investigation into a death. The bill does not specifically authorize the Attorney General to make that request. Should it? I realize that the cases in which the AG is involved are ones in which an autopsy would already have been conducted. But the examination contemplated by sub. (3) appears to be different from the autopsy.

Mike Dsida Legislative Reference Bureau 608/266-9867 michael.dsida@legis.state.wi.us

STATE OF WISCONSIN – **LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU** – **LEGAL SECTION** (608–266–3561)

Conv w DAK 5. 146.82 (2) (b) has an implicit exception Agy that allows a reductioning if it and have but permitted in the (st place under par. (a)	
5. (46.82(2)(b) has an implicit exception The formal permitted in the (st place under par, (a))	
5. (46.82(2)(b) has an implicit exception The formal permitted in the (st place under par, (a))	
	3
	4
	«

	Market (haling high franchist)

	,# ** **********************************

	TIPETOPAN PAGFPAGAPAA
	•

	·

	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Date:

March 9, 2005

To:

Michael Dsida

Legislative Reference Bureau

From:

Mary E. Burke

Assistant Attorney General

Subject:

Comments on LRBs0090/3 (2003-04 Legislature)

You have asked for comments on LRBs0090/3, creating a board to review and make recommendations regarding deaths at correctional institutions. Specifically, you ask how HIPAA may affect health information disclosures proposed in LRBs0090/3. Because of the inexact fit between HIPAA and existing Wisconsin law, which sometimes preempts HIPAA, I also comment below on some related aspects of Wisconsin law.

In case it had not previously come to your attention, let me first mention the Committee on Inmate/Youth Deaths created by Department of Corrections Executive Directive 58 and Department of Corrections Internal Management Procedure DOC 300 IMP 13. It appears that activities of the Inmate and Resident Mortality Board proposed in LRBs0090/3 would overlap to some extent with DOC's existing Committee on Inmate/Youth Deaths. If you would like further information about the Committee on Inmate/Youth Deaths, I suggest contacting DOC Chief Legal Counsel Kevin Potter at 240-5035.

Regarding LRBs0090/3, I don't see provisions for release of "treatment records" pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 51.30 for purposes of new Wis. Stat. § 979.028. There may be cases when treatment records would be included in the conceptually broad scope of records the draft would make available to the board (e.g. "all medical and prison records," page 4, line 24; board may review records "in custody of any medical provider," page 5, lines 17-18). The same is true of other types of records excepted from the Wis. Stat. § 146.81(4) definition of "patient health care records," although perhaps to a lesser extent.

I note use of the term "medical records" throughout the draft (e.g., page 4, line 24). Existing Wisconsin statutes concerning record confidentiality use different terminology, primarily "patient health care records" (Wis. Stat. § 146.81(4)) and "treatment records" (Wis. Stat. § 51.30(1)(b)). HIPAA uses a different set of terminology: "individually identifiable health information" (45 C.F.R. § 160.103), "protected health information" (45 C.F.R. § 160.103) ("PHI") and "psychotherapy notes" (45 C.F.R. 164.501). Differences between the existing Wisconsin and HIPAA definitions already complicate analysis of records confidentiality issues. I suggest that LRBs0090/3 avoid use of yet another set of terminology, or at least provide a definition of "medical records" relating that term to existing Wisconsin terminology.

The health information disclosures contemplated by LRBs0090/3 must comply with both state law and HIPAA. HIPAA privacy provisions apply to PHI of deceased individuals. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(f).

New Wis. Stat. § 146.82(2)(a)22. would allow release of "patient health care records" to members of the board. Several different HIPAA confidentiality exceptions could be read to permit this contemplated disclosure.

- HIPAA permits disclosures of PHI for purposes of a covered entity's (such as a health care provider) own health care operations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.506(a) and (c)(1). "Health care operations" include activities such as conducting quality assessment and improvement activities, reviewing the competence or qualifications of health care professionals, evaluating practitioner and provider performance, and conducting or arranging for medical review or auditing services. 45 C.F.R. § 164.501. Disclosures for purposes of a covered entity's health care operations are subject to the "minimum necessary" standard imposed by 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(b)(1) ("a covered entity must make reasonable efforts to limit protected health information to the minimum necessary to accomplish the intended purpose of the use, disclosure or request"). Cf. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(b)(2).
- HIPAA permits disclosures of PHI required by law. 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(a). Disclosures required by law are not subject to the "minimum necessary" standard. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(b)(2)(v).
- HIPAA permits disclosure of PHI for health oversight activities authorized by law. These activities include audits; civil, administrative or criminal investigations; inspections; licensure or disciplinary actions; civil, administrative or criminal proceedings or actions; and other specified oversight activities. 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(d). Disclosures for health oversight activities are subject to the "minimum necessary" standard. *Cf.* 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(b)(2).

(3),4) + (5)

I have been unable to locate any interpretive analysis in case law or guidance published by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services definitively clarifying which of the foregoing HIPAA exceptions best fits new Wis. Stat. § 146.82(2)(a)(22). On balance, I believe the § 164.512(a) "required by law" exception is the best fit because of the mandatory nature of the procedures LRBs0090/3 would create in new Wis. Stat. § 979.028(2). The health care operations described in connection with the § 164.506 exception seem to be more of a routine, ongoing business nature than the reviews mandated by new § 146.82(2)(a)(22). The health oversight activities described in § 164.512(d) are not "required," are of a more systemic nature, or involve a more formal tribunal.

Which HIPAA confidentiality exception best fits the new Wis. Stat. § 146.82(2)(a)(22) disclosure matters because the 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(a) "required by law" exception is not subject to the "minimum necessary" standard. If you believe another exception better fits new § 146.82(2)(a)(22), LRBs0090/3 should be redrafted to limit the information provided to the board to the "minimum necessary." For example, the provision in new § 979.028(1) providing the board access to "all medical and prison records related to the deceased inmate" would need revision.

As an aside, somewhat related to the "minimum necessary" standard, HIPAA imposes special confidentiality protections on psychotherapy notes. HIPAA generally requires specific authorization for release of psychotherapy notes, although not for disclosures required by law. 45 C.F.R. § 164.508(a)(2)(ii). That special protection is consistent with exclusion of treatment notes from the Wis. Stat. § 51.30(1)(b) definition of "treatment records," to which the § 51.30(4)(a) confidentiality exceptions potentially apply. I think you would need to add specific statutory authorization if it is your intent that records of this nature would be available to the board, and for the other disclosures described below.

If you were to conclude that the proposed disclosures are not "required by law" but rather "health care operations" for which 45 C.F.R. § 164.506(a) and (c)(1) allows disclosure, then it would not be necessary to find specific authorization in Wis. Stat. § 146.82 for the various disclosures. As recently amended by 2003 Wis. Law 281, Wis. Stat. § 146.82(1) now allows disclosures made for purposes of health care operations as authorized under 45 C.F.R., subpart E (which includes § 164.506(a) and (c)(1)). That still would leave open the issue of disclosures of information protected by Wis. Stat. § 51.30 and other statutes, however.

Two other disclosures related to the new § 979.028 review process that you may wish to consider involve internal disclosures at DOC that would occur before referral to the board, and disclosure of the board's findings as provided in new 979.28(3) and (4).

For HIPAA purposes, I assume that DOC is a hybrid entity as defined in 45 C.F.R. § 164.103 and described in 45 C.F.R. § 164.105(a). Among other things, HIPAA requires that the health care component of a hybrid entity not disclose PHI to another component of the covered entity in circumstances where the disclosure could not occur if the health care component and the other component were separate and distinct legal entities. 45 C.F.R. § 164.105(a)(2)(ii)(A). As you consider the mechanism for providing information to the board, I'd suggest reviewing any internal disclosures that would occur inside DOC (who would make the referral and provide supporting information to the board), authorization for those disclosures under existing Wisconsin law, and alignment of those disclosures with the various HIPAA confidentiality exceptions. Again, if the internal DOC disclosures were required by law, the "minimum necessary" standard would not apply by operation of § 164.502(b)(2)(v).



At the other end of the board's review, new Wis. Stat. § 979.28(3) requires the board to prepare a summary report and submit the report to specified persons. I assume that the summary report will discuss information gleaned from "patient health care records," "treatment records," etc., and so another exception in Wis. Stat. § 146.82 authorizing these further disclosures would seem to be in order—along with companion exceptions in § 51.30 and some of the other statutes excepted from the § 146.81 definition of "patient health care records." *Cf.* Wis. Stat. 146.82(b). The same analysis regarding the applicable HIPAA exception would apply here as to the disclosure of information to the board, discussed above. The "minimum necessary" standard would not apply if these disclosures are required by law, as the language of proposed § 979.28(3) currently provides.

New Wis. Stat. § 979.28(4) requires a slightly different analysis because some of the further disclosures permitted in that section are permissible, rather than mandatory. To the extent that disclosures are not required by law, they would seem to be made for HIPAA purposes of health care operations or health oversight activities. As discussed above, the "minimum necessary" standard applies to disclosures pursuant to those HIPAA confidentiality exceptions. It therefore might be useful to make mandatory all disclosures contemplated by this section, so that the "minimum necessary" standard would not apply to any of the disclosures. Depending on whether recommendation-related disclosures to DOC would be made back to the same DOC entities that made initial referrals to the board, it also may be necessary to consider whether additional disclosure exemptions are required in Wisconsin law.

Finally, a couple brief observations.

First, there is a HIPAA confidentiality exception specifically authorizing disclosures by covered entities to correctional institutions. 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(k)(5). This exception terminates when an inmate no longer remains in lawful custody, however, and authorizes use of PHI for various institutional operations purposes. I see no applicability of this provision to the proposals set forth in LRBs0090/3.

Second, a covered entity may disclose PHI pursuant to a HIPAA authorization complying with requirements of 45 C.F.R. § 164.508. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a)(1)(iv). The "minimum necessary" standard does not apply to disclosures made pursuant to a qualifying authorization. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(b)(2)(iii). It may not be feasible to incorporate authorization provisions into LRBs0090/3, but as a practical matter securing authorization for release of information for purposes of the board's review and reporting would simplify the various disclosure issues involved. The deceased inmate's personal representative could give consent for HIPAA purposes. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(g)(1) and (4). Similarly, both Wis. Stats. §§ 146.82(1) and 51.30(2) include provisions for record release with informed consent. Please note that HIPAA would require a separate authorization for release of psychotherapy notes. 45 C.F.R. § 164.508(b)(3)(ii) and (iii).

This is a very complicated area of the law. I hope these comments are useful to you. Please feel free to contact me at 266-0323 or burkeme@doj.state.wi.us if I can be of further assistance.

burkeme\hipaa\dsida 030905.doc

From: Hoey, Joseph

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2005 5:00 PM

To: Dsida, Michael

Subject: FW: HIPAA and Inmate and Resident Mortality Board bill

----Original Message----rom: Dsida, Michael

ent: Friday, March 11, 2005 2:01 PM

o: Hoey, Joseph

Subject: RE: HIPAA and Inmate and Resident Mortality Board bill

just read the memo again, and I should clarify what I wrote about psychotherapy notes. If the bill uses the term "patient health care ecords," that would exclude "treatment records," which include not just psychotherapy notes, but all records relating to mental ealth or AODA treatment. If you want to make those records available, please let me know, and I will draft a new exception under . 51.30 (4).

----Original Message----

From: Dsida, Michael

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2005 11:24 AM

To: Hoey, Joseph

Subject: HIPAA and Inmate and Resident Mortality Board bill

Joe-

Mary Burke from DOJ sent me the attached memo in response to my request. It's a very thorough analysis of how HIPAA relates to this bill.

I had also come to the conclusion that disclosures mandated by the bill (including those occurring within DOC) are "required by law," but I was unaware of the special treatment given to psychotherapy notes. I can try to address that in the next version, as well as her concerns regarding the use of the term "medical records." One question that the memo raises for you relates to the first part of s. 979.028 (4). Mary suggested making it mandatory. Another option would be to specify that disclosure of inmate health care records made in the context of making recommendations or expressing concerns cannot exceed the minimum necessary.

Mike Dsida Legislative Reference Bureau 608/266-9867 michael.dsida@legis.state.wi.us

----Original Message-----**From:** Burke, Mary E. - DOJ

Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2005 4:00 PM

To: Dsida, Michael

Subject: comments on LRBs0090/3 (2003-04 Legislature)

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss.

Mary E. Burke
Assistant Attorney General
Wisconsin Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857
burkeme@doj.state.wi.us
v: (608) 266-0323

From:

Hoey, Joseph

Sent:

Wednesday, March 30, 2005 5:01 PM

To:

Dsida, Michael

Subject:

FW: Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:

Follow up Flagged

----Original Message--

From:

Dsida, Michael

Sent:

Monday, February 28, 2005 4:00 PM

To:

Hoey, Joseph

Subject:

FW: Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

One other question -- Sarah answered 1-5, but I'm not sure that I ever got instructions regarding no. 6 below. Maybe, since it appears to be a different kind of investigation (i.e., something other than an autopsy), you just want to ignore it for the purposes of this bill??? 415 - 19nove

----Original Message-

From:

Dsida, Michael

Sent:

Wednesday, October 27, 2004 3:08 PM

To:

Osterberg, Sarah

Subject:

Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

Besides the question I left on your voicemail regarding the "next scheduled meeting":

- 1. In last year's sub, you expanded the scope of s. 979.025 (which requires autopsies for people who die in in-state or outof-state prisons) to cover, among others, juveniles in secured correctional facilities. That change, however, did not cover juveniles who are placed in secure facilities in other states. Should it have? (I don't know how often juveniles are placed in out-of-state facilities, so I don't know how many individuals that change would cover.)
- 2. Sections 7 and 18 of the sub only applied to inmates/residents of in-state facilities. (Note the cross-references to s. 979.025 (1).) I assume that they should also apply to inmates (and residents, depending on how you address Item 1) who die in out-of-state facilities if there is an in-state autopsy.
- 3. At the time the sub was drafted, DOC may not have been covered by federal HIPAA requirements regarding the disclosure of health care information. If it is not now covered, it may be covered soon. Would you mind if I contacted DOC to ask its views about how HIPAA affects the bill?
- 4. Assembly Amendment 4 did not specify whether the preliminary investigation is subject to the Open Records Law. Should it?
- 5. Is this change (page 6, line 10) okay?

The board may also refer concerns or recommendations to the department related to the performance of staff or work rule <u>, including</u> violations regarding by staff who did not follow of departmental policies or procedures related to the circumstances surrounding the death.

6. Under current s. 979.04 (3), the district attorney may request the coroner or the M.E. to conduct a preliminary investigation into a death. The bill does not specifically authorize the Attorney General to make that request. Should it? I realize that the cases in which the AG is involved are ones in which an autopsy would already have been conducted. But the examination contemplated by sub. (3) appears to be different from the autopsy.

Mike Dsida Legislative Reference Bureau 608/266-9867 michael.dsida@legis.state.wi.us

From:

Hoey, Joseph

Sent:

Wednesday, March 30, 2005 5:01 PM

To:

Dsida, Michael

Subject:

FW: Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:

Follow up Flagged

----Original Message----

From:

Dsida, Michael

Sent:

Tuesday, March 01, 2005 12:59 PM

To:

Hoey, Joseph

Subject:

Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

- 1. I'm not so sure about Item 2 anymore with respect to Section 7. Under current law, if a coroner or ME believes that an out-of-state inmate was the victim of a homicide, he or she notifies the appropriate authority in the other state -- not the DA. So perhaps what is in Section 7 (notice to the AG) should remain applicable only to in-state inmates. Yes
- 2. DOC is required to provide the board records regarding a death by the next scheduled meeting (page 5, line 8). But amendment 2 eliminated the quarterly meeting requirement. Any thoughts on how you want to change this?
- 3. The bill requires DOC to provide the board its medical records for the inmate. But the board is required to keep information from those records and other medical records it may have confidential. Do you want an exception to s. 146.82 Mike - V HIPAA ble hot "regid by law"

 vse "min extent nec"?? (2) (b) to allow the board to use information in the final report? Yes.
- 4. Should the report be subject to the open records law? △ ∂
- 5. Amendment 4 raises a few questions. Is the board required to make a preliminary report? Should that report be exempt -- at least pending the completion of the investigation -- from the open records law? If yes, what about the records on which it is based? (The second and third questions regarding Amendment 4 may be made moot by your answer to Questions 3 and 4.) The bill does not specify how the board learns that a criminal investigation is complete. Is that okay? (Note that it's possible that for an investigation to remain open for a very long time -- for example if the DA concludes that a crime has been committed but cannot identify the perpetrator. But I assume that that situation would be very unlikely to occur in a prison.)
- 6. The board is required to send the report to the DA or AG, "if appropriate." Is that okay? Would you rather specify the circumstances in which it sends them the report?

----Original Message----

From:

Dsida, Michael

Sent:

Wednesday, October 27, 2004 3:08 PM

To:

Osterberg, Sarah

Subject:

Additional points regarding review of inmate deaths

Besides the question I left on your voicemail regarding the "next scheduled meeting":

- 1. In last year's sub, you expanded the scope of s. 979.025 (which requires autopsies for people who die in in-state or out-of-state prisons) to cover, among others, juveniles in secured correctional facilities. That change, however, did not cover juveniles who are placed in secure facilities in other states. Should it have? (I don't know how often juveniles are placed in out-of-state facilities, so I don't know how many individuals that change would cover.)
- 2. Sections 7 and 18 of the sub only applied to inmates/residents of in-state facilities. (Note the cross-references to s. 979.025 (1).) I assume that they should also apply to inmates (and residents, depending on how you address Item 1) who die in out-of-state facilities if there is an in-state autopsy.

Mike Dsida Legislative Reference Bureau 608/266-9867 michael.dsida@legis.state.wi.us

2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBs0090/3 RPN:whi&kgreh

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 152

(Loon)

LPs: Please PWF

August 12, 2003 – Offered by Representative Wasserman.



AN ACT to amend 979.025 (1), 979.05 (2), 979.05 (3), 979.05 (5), 979.05 (6), 979.06 (1), 979.06 (2), 979.08 (1), 979.08 (5), 979.08 (6) and 979.08 (7); and to create 15.07 (1) (b) 23., 15.07 (3) (bm) 5., 15.145 (4), 146.82 (2) (a) 22., 979.028, 979.04 (2m) and 979.10 (1) (a) 1m. of the statutes; relating to: creating a board to review and make recommendations regarding deaths at correctional institutions.

ASTE

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, upon the death of an inmate of a state correctional institution, the person in charge of the institution is required to notify the appropriate relative of the inmate of the death. Currently, the Department of Corrections (DOC) is also required to provide the relative with written notification that DOC, upon request, will provide the relative with a copy of any autopsy performed on the inmate or a copy of any other report or information regarding the inmate's death.

Under current law, if the district attorney has notice that the death of a person may be the result of homicide (including homicide by negligent handling of a dangerous weapon or resulting from intexicated use of a motor vehicle) or suicide, or may have occurred under unexplained or suspicious circumstances, the district

1NS A2

have been

mental health

CINS C D

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-621

the board is required under the substitute/amendment to prepare and forward a complaint to the appropriate credentialing board.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 15.07 (1) (b) 23. of the statutes is created to read:

2 15.07 (1) (b) 23. The members of the inmate and resident mortality board appointed under s. 15.145 (4).

SECTION 2. 15.07 (3) (bm) 5. of the statutes is created to read:

15.07 (3) (bm) 5. The inmate and resident mortality board shall meet at least 4 times each year and may meet at other times on the call of the chairperson or a majority of the board's members.

Section 3. 15.145 (4) of the statutes is created to read:

department of corrections an inmate and resident mortality board consisting of 12 members appointed for 4-year terms. Eight of the members shall be appointed by the governor and shall include 2 physicians from the University of Wisconsin Hospitals and Chnics Authority, 2 physicians from the Medical College of Wisconsin, one physician from a health care provider other than the University of Wisconsin hospitals and Chnics Authority or the Medical College of Wisconsin, one registered nurse employed by a private health maintenance organization, one registered nurse employed by a private hospital, and one member who does not represent any of the foregoing entities and who is not employed by a state agency. The other 4 members of the board shall be appointed by the secretary of corrections and shall be a warden of a state correctional facility, a manager of a unit within a state correctional facility that provides the health services to inmates, a health care provider who is employed

by the department of corrections, and an employee of the department of corrections, who works in a correctional facility. At least one member of the board shall be a physician who is a pathologist with subspecialty training in forensic pathology and who is certified by the American Board of Pathology.

Section 4. 146.82 (2) (a) 22. of the statutes is created to read:

146.82 (2) (a) 22. To the inmate and resident mortality board to enable that board to review the death of an inmate or resident under s. 979.028.

SECTION 5. 979.025 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.025 (1) Inmate or resident confined to an institution in this state. If an individual dies while he or she is in the legal custody of the department and confined to a state correctional facility located in this state institution, as defined s. 301.01 (4). The but excluding any institution that meets the criteria under s. 302.01 solely because of its status under s. 301.046 or 301.048 (4) (b) or is confined to a county jail or house of correction pursuant to a contract under s. 302.27, the coroner or medical examiner of the county where the death occurred shall perform an autopsy on the deceased individual. If the coroner or medical examiner who performs the autopsy determines that the individual's death may have been the result of any of the situations that would permit the district attorney to order an inquest under s. 979.04 (1) the coroner or medical examiner shall follow the procedures under s. 979.04 (2) or (2m).

Section 6. 979.028 of the statutes is created to read:

979.028 Review of an inmate's or resident's death. (1) The inmate and resident mortality board shall review the circumstances of the death of every individual who is subject to an autopsy under s. 979.025. To facilitate the review, the board shall have access to all medical and prison records related to the deceased inmate. A member of the board shall disqualify himself or herself from any



2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

discussion regarding a specific death if he or she determines that he or she cannot act in an impartial manner regarding that death.

(2) (a) Within 3 business days, as defined in s. 421.301 (6), after the death of a person whose death requires the performance of an autopsy under s. 979.025, the secretary of corrections or the secretary's designee shall send a written notice to every member of the inmate and resident mortality board of the death. The written notification shall include a summary of information related to the person's death. including the date, time, and place of the death. (At the next scheduled meeting of the board, the department shall provide the board with the records that are in the custody of the department regarding the person who died, including medical records, and any information obtained as a result of any departmental internal review of the death. (At the request of any board member, the department shall provide the member with the records and information obtained as a result of any internal review before the next scheduled meeting of the board.

The department shall cooperate with the board and provide any assistance the board requests to review the circumstances of the death of the inmate or resident.

The board, while performing its duties, may review medical records of the inmate or resident in the custody of any medical provider; with the approval of the district attorney or attorney general, medical records in the custody of a law enforcement agency; information obtained by the coroner or medical examiner regarding the death of the inmate or resident; and any information collected as the result of an autopsy performed under s/979.025 or an inquest ordered under s. 979.04.

Within 30 days after the meeting during which the board completes its review of an inmate's or resident's death, the inmate and resident mortality board

(a) Except as provided in subo (4) 1

20

21

22

23

24

the

shall prepare a summary report of the board's review of an inmate's or resident's death and submit that summary report to all of the following:

The appropriate relative of the deceased.

The secretary of corrections.

(d) If appropriate, the attorney general or district attorney.

Notwithstanding s. 13.172 (3), the chairperson and the ranking minority member of the appropriate standing committee of the assembly and senate.

the department regarding medical and other prison procedures, based on the board's review of an inmate's or resident's death. The board may also refer concerns or recommendations to the department related to the performance or work rules violations regarding staff who did not follow departmental policies or procedures related to the circumstances surrounding the death. The inmate and resident mortality board shall prepare and forward a complaint to the appropriate credentialing board, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (bm), if, during the board's review of an inmate's or resident's death, the board determines that a medical provider failed to provide the appropriate, proper, and necessary medical care.

SECTION 7. 979.04 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

979.04 (2m) If the coroner or medical examiner has knowledge of the death of any inmate or resident under s. 979.025 (1) that would permit the district attorney to order an inquest under s. 979.04 (1), he or she shall notify the attorney general in addition to the notification to the district attorney. The notification shall include information concerning the circumstances surrounding the death. The attorney general shall have the same powers and authority to order an inquest when notified of a death under this subsection as has the district attorney under sub. (1).

el /B 3

Subsequent to receipt of notice of the death, the attorney general may request the coroner or medical examiner to conduct a preliminary investigation and report back to the attorney general. The attorney general may determine the scope of the preliminary investigation. This subsection does not limit or prevent any other investigation into the death by any law enforcement agency with jurisdiction over the investigation. The coroner or medical examiner may request the attorney general to order an inquest. If the attorney general refuses to order the inquest, and the district attorney has refused to order an inquest under sub. (1), the coroner or medical examiner may petition the circuit court to order an inquest. The court may issue the order if it finds that the attorney general has abused his or her discretion in not ordering an inquest.

SECTION 8. 979.05 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.05 (2) The inquest shall be conducted before a jury unless the attorney general, district attorney, coroner, or medical examiner requests that the inquest be conducted before the judge or circuit court commissioner only. If the inquest is to be conducted before a jury, a sufficient number of names of prospective jurors shall be selected from the prospective juror list for the county in which the inquest is to be held by the clerk of circuit court in the manner provided in s. 756.06. The judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest shall summon the prospective jurors to appear before the judge or circuit court commissioner at the time fixed in the summons. The summons may be served by mail, or by personal service if the judge, circuit court commissioner, attorney general, or district attorney determines personal service to be appropriate. The summons shall be in the form used to summon petit jurors in the circuit courts of the county. Any person who fails to appear when summoned as an inquest juror is subject to a forfeiture of not more than

\$40. The inquest jury shall consist of 6 jurors. If 6 jurors do not remain from the number originally summoned after establishment of qualifications, the judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest may require the clerk of the circuit court to select sufficient additional jurors' names. Those persons shall be summoned forthwith by the sheriff of the county.

SECTION 9. 979.05 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.05 (3) The judge or circuit court commissioner shall examine on oath or affirmation each person who is called as a juror to discover whether the juror is related by blood, marriage, or adoption to the decedent, any member of the decedent's family, the attorney general, district attorney, any other attorney appearing in the case, or any members of the office of the attorney general, district attorney, or of the office of any other attorney appearing in the case, has expressed or formed any opinion regarding the matters being inquired into in the inquest or is aware of or has any bias or prejudice concerning the matters being inquired into in the inquest. If any prospective juror is found to be not indifferent or is found to have formed an opinion which cannot be laid aside, that juror shall be excused. The judge or circuit commissioner may select one or more alternate jurors if the inquest is likely to be protracted. This subsection does not limit the right of the attorney general or district attorney to supplement the judge's or circuit commissioner's examination of any prospective jurors as to qualifications.

SECTION 10. 979.05 (5) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.05 (5) Prior to the submission of evidence to the jury, the judge or circuit court commissioner may instruct the jury on its duties and on the substantive law regarding the issues which may be inquired into before the jury. The attorney general or district attorney may, at any time during the course of the inquest, make

statements to the jury relating to procedural or evidentiary matters he or she and the judge or circuit court commissioner deem appropriate. Section 972.12 applies to the conduct of the inquest jury.

SECTION 11. 979.05 (6) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.05 (6) The judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest may order that proceedings be secret if the <u>attorney general or</u> district attorney so requests or concurs.

SECTION 12. 979.06 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.06 (1) The judge or circuit court commissioner may issue subpoenas for witnesses at the request of the coroner or medical examiner and shall issue subpoenas for witnesses requested by the attorney general or district attorney. Subpoenas are returnable at the time and place stated therein in the subpoena. Persons who are served with a subpoena may be compelled to attend proceedings in the manner provided in s. 885.12

SECTION 13. 979.06 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.06 (2) The judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest and the attorney general or district attorney may require by subpoena the attendance of one or more expert witnesses, including physicians, surgeons and pathologists, for the purposes of conducting an examination of the body and all relevant and material scientific and medical tests connected with the examination and testifying as to the results of the examination and tests. The expert witnesses so subpoenaed shall receive reasonable fees determined by the attorney general or district attorney and the judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest.

Section 14. 979.08 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.08 (1) When the evidence is concluded and the testimony closed, the judge or circuit court commissioner shall instruct the jury on its duties and on the substantive law regarding the issues inquired into before the jury. The attorney general or district attorney shall prepare a written set of appropriate requested instructions and shall submit them to the judge or circuit court commissioner who, together with the attorney general or district attorney, shall compile the final set of instructions which shall be given. The instructions shall include those criminal offenses for which the judge or circuit court commissioner believes a reasonable jury might return a verdict based upon a finding of probable cause.

SECTION 15. 979.08 (5) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.08 (5) The verdict delivered by the inquest jury is advisory and does not preclude or require the issuance of any criminal charges by the <u>attorney general or</u> district attorney.

SECTION 16. 979.08 (6) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.08 (6) Any verdict so rendered, after being validated and signed by the judge or circuit court commissioner, together with the record of the inquest, shall be delivered to the district attorney for consideration. After considering the verdict and record, the attorney general or district attorney may deliver the entire inquest record or any part thereof to the coroner or medical examiner for safekeeping.

SECTION 17. 979.08 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.08 (7) The record of a secret inquest proceeding shall not be open for inspection unless so ordered by the judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest upon petition by the attorney general or district attorney.

SECTION 18. 979.10 (1) (a) 1m. of the statutes is created to read:

INS ____

LRBs0090/3 RPN:wlj&kg:ch SECTION 18

979.10 (1) (a) 1m. If an autopsy is performed under s. 979.025 (1), the coroner

or medical examiner who performed the autopsy, or

SECTION 19. Nonstatutory provisions.

(1) Notwithstanding the length of terms for the members of the inmate and resident mortality board specified in section 15.145 (4) of the statutes, as created by this act, 3 initial members of the board appointed by the governor and 2 initial members appointed by the secretary of corrections shall be for a term of 4 years; 3 initial members of the board appointed by the governor and one initial member appointed by the secretary of corrections shall be for a term of 3 years; and 2 initial members of the board appointed by the governor and one initial member appointed by the secretary of corrections shall be for a term of 2 years.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2005–2006 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

analysis INSERT A1

1

2

3

4

5

Under current law, if a person dies while confined in a correctional facility, the coroner or medical examiner for the county where the death occurred must conduct an autopsy. In addition,

analysis INSERT A2

Separately, current law establishes procedures for inquests, which apply to inmates and non-inmates alike.

analysis INSERT B

This bill expands the scope of the provision requiring autopsies for persons who die while confined in a state correctional facility so that it applies to a person in DOC's custody who dies while temporarily confined in, and under a DOC contract with, a county jail or house of correction. In addition, the bill

analysis INSERT C

Meetings of the Inmate and Resident Mortality Board are not subject to the Open Meetings Law. Records prepared by the board are not subject to the Open Records Law.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

INSERT 4/4B

- 6 SECTION 1. 51.30 (4) (b) 23g. of the statutes is created to read:
- 51.30 (4) (b) 23g. To the inmate and resident mortality board to enable it to review the death of an inmate or resident under s. 978.028.
- 9 SECTION 2. 51.30 (4) (b) 23r. of the statutes is created to read:
- 10 51.30 (4) (b) 23r. By the inmate and resident mortality board under s. 979.028 (3), (4), or (5).

12 **INSERT 4/7**

- 13 SECTION 3: 146.82 (2) (a) 23. of the statutes is created to read:
- 14 146.82 (2) (a) 23. By the inmate and resident mortality board under s. 979.028
- (3), (4), or (5). The board is not required to receive a request in order to release patient
- health care records under this subdivision.

1	INSERT 4/21
2	In this section:
3	(a) "Patient health care records" has the meaning given in s. 146.81 (4).
4	(b) "Treatment records" has the meaning given in s. $51.30(1)(b)$.
5	(2)
6	INSERT 5/17
7	(b) 1. If the board, while performing its duties, requests patient health care or
8	treatment records that are in the custody of a health care provider, as defined in s.
9	146.81 (1), the department of health and family services, a county department under
10	s. 51.42 or 51.437 or its staff, or a treatment facility, as defined in s. 51.01 (19), the
11	records shall be provided to the board for its review.
12	2. If the board, while performing its duties, requests patient health care or
13	treatment records that are in the custody of a law enforcement agency, the agency
14	shall provide the records to the board for its review, but only with the approval of the
15	district attorney or attorney general.
16	3. Upon request by the board, a coroner or medical examiner shall provide the
17	board any information that it has obtained regarding the death of the inmate or
18	resident. The board may also review any information collected through any of the
19	following:
20	a. An autopsy performed under s. 979.025.
21	b. An inquest ordered under s. 979.04 if the inquest is not secret under s. 979.05
22	(6).
23	c. A secret inquest if a judge or circuit court commissioner has authorized the
24	board to inspect the record of the inquest under s. 979.08 (7).
25	INSERT 6/7A

(b) The board may include patient health care or treatment records in a report prepared under par. (a), but only to the minimum extent necessary to summarize its conclusions regarding the inmate's or resident's death.

INSERT 6/18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Subchapter V of ch. 19 does not apply to meetings of the inmate and resident mortality board. Records prepared under this section are not subject to inspection or copying under s. 19.35 (1).

SECTION 4. 979.04 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.04 (1) If the district attorney has notice of the death of any person and there is reason to believe from the circumstances surrounding the death that felony murder, first-degree or 2nd-degree intentional homicide, first-degree or 2nd-degree reckless homicide, homicide by negligent handling of dangerous weapon, explosives or fire, homicide by negligent operation of vehicle, homicide resulting from negligent control of a vicious animal or homicide by intoxicated user of a vehicle or firearm may have been committed, or that death may have been due to suicide or unexplained or suspicious circumstances, the district attorney may order that an inquest be conducted for the purpose of inquiring how the person died. The district attorney shall appear in any such inquest representing the state in presenting all evidence which may be relevant or material to the inquiry of the inquest. The inquest may be held in any county in this state in which venue would lie for the trial of any offense charged as the result of or involving the death. An inquest may only be ordered by the district attorney under this subsection, by the attorney general under sub. (2) (b), or by the circuit judge under sub. (2) (d).

Section 5.	979.04 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 979.04 (2) (a) and amount	ended
to read:		

- 979.04 (2) (a) If the coroner or medical examiner has knowledge of the death of any that a person has died in the manner described under sub. (1), he or she shall immediately notify the district attorney. The
- (c) A notification given by a coroner or medical examiner under par. (a) or (b) shall include information concerning the circumstances surrounding the death.
- (d) The coroner or medical examiner may request the district attorney, if notified under par. (a) or (b), or the attorney general, if notified under par. (b) to order an inquest under sub. (1). If the district attorney refuses and, in cases involving an inmate or resident to whom s. 979.025 (1) applies, the attorney general refuse to order the inquest, a coroner or medical examiner may petition the circuit court to order an inquest. The court may issue the order if it finds that the district attorney has and, in cases involving an inmate or resident to whom s. 979.025 (1) applies, the attorney general, have abused his or her discretion in not ordering an inquest.

History: 1983 a. 279; 1985 a. 135; 1987 a. 399.

SECTION 6 979.04 (2) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

979.04 (2) (b) If the coroner or medical examiner has knowledge that a person to whom s. 979.025 (1) applies has died in the manner described under sub. (1), the coroner or medical examiner shall immediately notify the district attorney and the attorney general. When notified of a death under this paragraph, the attorney general shall have the same powers and duties that a district attorney has with respect to an inquest.

INSERT 10/24

 $\mathbf{2}$

Section \sim 979.08 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:

979.08 (7) The record of a secret inquest proceeding shall not be open for inspection unless so ordered by the judge or circuit court commissioner conducting the inquest upon petition by the district attorney.

History: 1983 a. 279; 2001 a. 61.

SECTION 979.10 (1) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

979.10 (1) (a) 2. The Unless an autopsy is required under s. 979.025 (1), the coroner or medical examiner in the county where the event which caused the death occurred if the death occurred in this state and if the death is the subject of an investigation under s. 979.01; or

History: 1971 c. 164 s. 86; 1973 c. 272; 1979 c. 177; 1983 a. 146; 1983 a. 279 s. 20; Stats. 1983 s. 979.10; 1985 a. 315; 2001 a. 104.

a much the commissances of

or; in a death reviewed under so 9790028,

the inmate and resident mortality board

1 NS 1 5

6

7

8

These go into -0585/1 ins

979.09; AM

979.09 Burial of body. If any judge or circuit court commissioner conducts an inquest as to the death of a stranger or of a person whose identity is unknown or whose body is unclaimed or if the district attorney determines that no inquest into the death of such a person is necessary and the circuit judge has not ordered an inquest under s. 979.04 (2), the coroner or medical examiner shall cause the body to be decently buried or cremated and shall certify to all the charges incurred in taking any inquest by him or her and to the expenses of burial or cremation of the dead body. The charges and expenses shall be audited by the county board of the proper county and paid out of the county treasury.

(d)

INSERT

979.10(2) AM

(2) If a corpse is to be cremated, the coroner or medical examiner shall make a careful personal inquiry into the cause and manner of death, and conduct an autopsy or order the conducting of an autopsy, if in his or her or the district attorney's opinion it is necessary to determine the cause and manner of death. If the coroner or medical examiner determines that no further examination or judicial inquiry is necessary he or she shall certify that fact. Upon written request by the district attorney the coroner or medical examiner shall obtain the concurrence of the district attorney before issuing the certification. If the coroner or medical examiner determines that further examination or judicial inquiry is necessary, he or she shall notify the district attorney under s. 979.04 (2).

(a)

Section #.

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 4, TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 152

October 21, 2003 - Offered by Representative Albers.

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows: 1. Page 5, line 23: delete "Within" and substitute "Except as provided in sub. 2 3 (3m), within". 4 2. Page 6, line 7: after that line insert: (3m) If there is a criminal investigation of an inmate's or resident's death, the 5 board may not issue a final report regarding the board's review of the inmate's or resident's death until after that criminal investigation is completed. Any report issued before completion of the criminal investigation is preliminary and is subject to modification based on information received as a result of the criminal 10 investigation. 🖔 11 (END)

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 2, TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 152

INS 4/4A

October 9, 2003 - Offered by Representatives WASSERMAN and ALBERS.

	1	At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
	2	1. Page 3, line 4: delete lines 4 to 7.
	3	2. Page 4, line 4: after that line insert:
please	4->	SECTION 300, 20.916 (9) (f) 1. of the statutes, as affected by 2003 Wisconsin Act
Courb.	5	33) is amended to read:
	6	20.916 (9) (f) 1. Scheduled air travel. Reimbursement for air travel shall be
	7	limited to the lowest appropriate airfare, as determined by the director of the office
	8	of state employment relations. An employee may be reimbursed for air travel at a
	9	rate other than the lowest appropriate airfare only if the employee submits a written
	10	explanation of the reasonableness of the expense. Members of the inmate and
	11	resident mortality board may not receive reimbursement for air travel.
	12	(END)

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 1, TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 152

INS 4/1

September 10, 2003 - Offered by Representative WASSERMAN.

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

1. Page 4, line 1: delete lines 1 and 2 and substitute by the department of corrections, and a correctional officer who shall be from a list provided to the secretary by the labor organization recognized or certified to represent the employees in the collective bargaining unit that represents correctional officers. At least one member of the board shall be a

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

(END)

Northrop, Lori

From:

Hoey, Joseph

Sent:

Wednesday, May 18, 2005 9:15 AM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft review: LRB 05-0585/1 Topic: Inmate and resident mortality board

It has been requested by <Hoey, Joseph> that the following draft be jacketed for the ASSEMBLY:

Draft review: LRB 05-0585/1 Topic: Inmate and resident mortality board